ML <u>Guided Optimizations</u> ('MLGO')

Mircea Trofin (pronounced "*Meercha*") Compiler Optimization, Google

- you can use ML in clang/llvm (as of 2020)
- open source, in "trunk"
- support for: embedding ML models, extracting training corpus, etc.

At Google:

- Size (ML makes per-callsite inlining decisions):
 - \circ Chrome on Android (since mid-2022)
 - Fuchsia (an OS, runs on Google Assistant devices, ~2020)
 - internal cloud infra (fixed size boot partition. 2022)
- Performance:
 - \circ a register allocation policy for e.g. Search, Big Table, etc. (2022)
 - $\circ~$ ML makes Live Range conflict resolution choices

This talk...

- Scope (C/C++ at Google)
- Why ML
- How (high-level)

• Biggest challenges (research opportunities)

Google + LLVM

Clang/LLVM

- github.com/llvm/llvm-project
- LLVM: a library
 - IR
 - extensible pass ("phase") model
 - \circ $\,$ state of the art optimizations $\,$
 - \circ lowering to Machine IR (MIR) (x86, arm, etc)
- clang a frontend, lowers C/C++ to IR
- other frontends: rust, swift...
- also: lld (linker), lldb (debugger)...

C/C++ at Google

- compiler (performance) engineering matters quite directly to the "bottom line"
 - $\circ~$ even small performance improvements (0.5% 1%) matter a lot
 - less hardware
 - lower power utilization
 - better user experience
 - ••••
 - continuous improvement
 - 1% today, another 1% tomorrow…
- we use C/C++ for all the large scale, performance-critical services
- at Google, "C/C++" == Clang / LLVM

When we talk about performance, we assume...

- performance profiles (FDO aka PGO)
 - \circ frequency of function calls
 - \circ CFG edge probability
 - \circ loop average trip count

- ThinLTO
 - \circ $% \$ Link Time Optimization (LTO): reason about the whole program
 - …but because or binaries are statically linked and large -> ThinLTO

What do our binaries usually do

- serve RPC requests
- a request passes through lots of code
 - \circ reusable libraries (both 1st and 3rd party)



- reusable code tries to be *generic* wrt context of use
- performance is about being as **specific** as possible to the usage context

...our binaries continued...

- data won't fit in the cache
- ...nor would the hot/warm instruction set for any particular RPC

 interprocedural optimizations (IPO: e.g. inlining) generally have big impact (for us)

 this produces large functions

Why ML

- IPO (inlining), regalloc... reasoning about large data (graphs)
 - local decisions have far-reaching effects
 - \circ complex (i.e. hard to reason about by humans)
 - without ML: *heuristics*:
 - no known perfect optimization algo
 - so we use rules of thumb... tendency for local optima
 - empirically do OK, sometimes they don't, that's OK
- Reinforcement Learning (and similar techniques) scale well with large data and problems like these

Why *not* ML

- compilers (at least systems-oriented ones) must be:
 - deterministic (same compiler | same flags | same input => bit-identical output)
 - \circ timely
 - correct
- these are antithetic to ML

What's the hope / promise?

• break through complexity limitations

 focus on feature extraction rather than manually fine-tuning knobs

 automated periodic re-tuning rather than "run benchmark suite, see what breaks"

What's the *full engineering* problem?

• keep the compiler deterministic, correct, and timely

• have a systematic approach to training

• scalable to compiler community

RL + LLVM

What's a *ML* policy?

- It's a neural network
- ...which is a function
 - takes inputs ("tensors": buffers of scalars)
 - executes
 - produces a result
 - (up to user to interpret result)

• 'executes':

- \circ can be compiled to native code ("AOT")
- ...or interpreted

Guidelines

- ask ML to decide among correctness-preserving alternatives
 - *if* a call site is legally inlinable... should it?
 - *if* some Live Ranges conflict, and a subset of them can be split...
 which should that be?
- train offline... rarely
- "use" treats ML as an implementation detail
 - \circ $\,$ so the compiler looks and feels "as usual"
 - …just that some decisions are made differently… but that's implementation detail

Training: Very High Level

- 0 start with a policy
 - 1 observe it in action
 - 2 compare with baseline => *reward*
 - 3 use reward (and observations) to **produce** another policy
 - 4 goto 1

github.com/google/ml-compiler-opt (non-prescriptive; what we use)

"Observe it in action"

- compiler:
 - extract **features**
 - execute policy
 - get **result**
 - \circ $% \left({{\left({{\left({{{\left({{{\left({{{\left({{ }} \right)}} \right)}} \right.}} \right)}_{0,0}}} \right)} \right)$ act on it
- for training, we may additionally want to get a trace of feature values ("observations") and decisions

LLVM support: MLModelRunner

#include "llvm/Analysis/MLModelRunner.h"

// switch to index-based parameter lookup index 0 index 1 ...
MLModelRunner *Runner = factory_method({{"foo", int64_t, (1, 10)}, {"bar", float,...}})

// direct access to parameters' backing buffers to avoid imposing memcpy-ing
Runner->getTensor<int64_t>(foo_index/*==0*/)[0] = Callee.getBasicBlockList().size();
Runner->getTensor<float>(bar_index/*==1*/)[0] = Module.getFunctionList().size();

// execute the model and interpret the result
bool ShouldInline = Runner->evaluate<bool>();

examples:

- lib/Analysis/MLInlineAdvisor.cpp
- lib/CodeGen/MLRegAllocEvictAdvisor.cpp

"Reward"

- improvement / regression from a **baseline**
- for size, it's easy: # of bytes (ls -l)

• what about performance?

Reward for performance

- benchmarks
 - \circ $\,$ easy for toy problems, hard to scale $\,$
 - representativeness (feature value distribution should match deployment)
 - \circ isolated hardware
 - \circ time consuming build and execution
- but we have profile information!
 - \circ can we pre-compute a value at compile time?

This is the main challenge

The main challenges

- profile information is not precise enough
 - **absolutely** better than nothing
 - but lossy during certain optimizations (like inlining)
 - current direction: contextual profiles

- latency prediction
 - pipeline effects
 - \circ cache effects
 - but: we don't care about accurate *absolute* prediction. Just accurate *trend*

Getting involved (with LLVM, or MLGO)

<u>https://discourse.llvm.org/</u> (tag: #mlgo) <u>Google Summer of Code</u>, LLVM project

Internships @ Google

_ _ _

Student Researcher Program



"vanilla" (non-ThinLTO)



ThinLTO



How to talk to ML models

ML Models

- essentially, a function written in a DSL
 Tensorflow: "Saved Model"
- The DSL needs an interpreter / compiler
 - o abstraction: llvm/Analysis/MLModelRunner.h (llvm::MLModelRunner)
- Arguments & return: "Tensors"
 - o llvm/Analysis/TensorSpec.h (llvm::TensorSpec)
 - name: name-based binding
 - type: scalar type (int32, float..)
 - **shape:** e.g. {3, 2, 5} (but we really care it's 3*2*5*sizeof(int32) = 120 bytes).

MLModelRunner high-level



#include "llvm/Analysis/MLModelRunner.h"

// switch to index-based parameter lookup index 0 index 1 ...
MLModelRunner *Runner = factory_method({{"foo", int64_t, (1, 10)}, {"bar", float,...}})

// direct access to parameters' backing buffers to avoid imposing memcpy-ing
Runner->getTensor<int64_t>(foo_index/*==0*/)[0] = Callee.getBasicBlockList().size();
Runner->getTensor<float>(bar_index/*==1*/)[0] = Module.getFunctionList().size();

// execute the model and interpret the result
bool ShouldInline = Runner->evaluate<bool>();

examples:

- lib/Analysis/MLInlineAdvisor.cpp
- lib/CodeGen/MLRegAllocEvictAdvisor.cpp

Contract with implementers

```
{"name1", float, {1, 3}}, → InputBuffers[0]
{"name2", uint32_t, {10}}, → InputBuffers[1]
...
```

getTensor(size_t I) { return InputBuffers[I]; }

- tensor buffer lifetime == MLModelRunner's lifetime
- row-major order flattening

- " \rightarrow " is the implementer's ctor responsibility
 - \circ $$ because it may have preferences / internal optimizations
- if implementer doesn't know a tensor, we'll allocate a buffer for it (for versioning / evolution)

IIvm::ReleaseModeModelRunner - embed compiled model

- llvm/Analysis/ReleaseModeModelRunner.h
- see llvm/cmake/modules/TensorFlowCompile.cmake: tf_find_and_compile
- must:

using CompiledModelType = RegAllocEvictModel; // <- generated</pre>

- examples in lib/{Analysis|CodeGen}/CMakeLists.txt
- test model generators lib/Analysis/models/gen- {regalloc-eviction |inline-oz}-test-model.py
- tensorflow pip dependency: the way the AOT compiler & C++ wrapper sources are packaged (so… install a python package just to get to C++ / native "stuff"? yup!)

Ilvm::InteractiveModelRunner - ask an external agent

- available "off the shelf"
- implements a "dm_env", or "gym", interface
 - meant for training / research.
- "evaluate":
 - \circ $\$ write all features to a file desc
 - \circ $\$ wait for external agent to give answer
- use standard LLVM IO file descriptors (sys::fs APIs) can be named pipes

std::make_unique<InteractiveModelRunner>(
 M.getContext(), Features, OutputSpec,
 InteractiveChannelBaseName + ".out",
 InteractiveChannelBaseName + ".in")

• complete examples:

llvm/test/CodeGen/MLRegAlloc/interactive-mode.ll
llvm/test/Transforms/Inline/ML/interactive-mode.ll

yes, yes, yet another serialization format...

serializer: llvm/Analysis/TrainingLogger.h
deserializer: lib/Analysis/models/log reader.py

regalloc example:

- 1. {"features":[{"name":"mask","type":"int64_t"....],]..}
- 2. {"context":"aFunctionName"}
- 3. {"observation":0}
- 4. **<binary data dump of tensor values>**\n
- 5. {"observation":1}

• • •

IIvm::ModelUnderTrainingRunner - load and interpret

- works with build systems, but slower than AOT it's an interpreter!
- initially used for training, also valuable for the added flexibility
- must embed the TFLite runtime:

\$ mkdir /tmp/tflite
\$ cd /tmp/tflite
\$ cd /tmp/tflite
\$ curl -s https://raw.githubusercontent.com/google/ml-compiler-opt/main/buildbot/build_tflite.sh | bash

```
$ cd $LLVM && mkdir build && cd build
$ cmake <...> -C /tmp/tflite/tflite.cmake
```

```
std::unique<MLModelRunner> Runner =
    ModelUnderTrainingRunner::createAndEnsureValid(
        Ctx,
        ModelPath, // <- you can pass a model from command line
        DecisionName,
        InputSpecs)</pre>
```

- ModelPath points to a dir containing a model.tflite file and an output spec.json
 - canonical saved model -> tflite converter: lib/Analysis/models/saved-model-to-tflite.py
 - o canonical json: lib/Analysis/models/gen-{inline-oz|regalloc-eviction}-test-model.py

Corpus Collection

Corpus collection

- independently (re)compile individual modules, in production configuration
- leverage .llvmbc and .llvmcmd (existing feature)

Steps:

- 1) build your project with your build system...
 - ... but pass additional flags
- 2) find' the native .o files and scrape the 2 sections

llvm-objcopy -dump-section= .llvmbc=<output.bc> native.o /dev/null

'compile_commands.json | linker .params |... see https://github.com/google/ml-compiler-opt/blob/main/compiler_opt/tools/extract_ir.py

Details

• Frontend (pre-(Thin)LTO) clang:

```
clang <...> -Xclang=-fembed-bitcode=all
```

• ThinLTO "distributed":

```
clang <...> -mllvm
-thinlto-embed-bitcode=post-merge-pre-opt
```

• ThinLTO "local":

```
ld.lld <...> -WL, --save-temps=import \
    -Wl, --thinlto-emit-index-files
```

- this dumps files named xyz.3.import.bc and xyz.thinlto.bc in our output dir
- not using .llvmbc / .llvmcmd

A corpus is...

- a directory of files
- a corpus element is:
 - o a .bc (IR)
 - a .cmd file
 - (thinlto) a .thinlto.bc index file (still needed for WholeProgramDevirt)
- to re-run compilation:
 - \circ run clang with the .cmd options (note: they are '\0' separated…)
 - adjust input/output paths (and thinlto index)
 - pass -mllvm -thinlto-assume-merged if ThinLTO
- a corpus element is compilable independently from the build system