## CSE211: Compiler Design

 Oct. 18, 2021- Topic: Flow analysis and Live variables
- Questions:



## Announcements

## - Homework 1:

- Due today (at 11:59 pm)
- zip up files and submit on Canvas
- one or two zip files, doesn't matter as long as I can easily get to the code!
- Homework 2:
- Out now: specification is out. Code skeletons are released
- 2 weeks to complete
- Local Value Numbering
- Live variable analysis (today)


## Announcements

Next two classes:

- Wednesday:
- Will be canceled $:$ timing conflict that I miscalculated at the conference.
- You can spend the time working on HW2
- Friday will be remote
- I will give a live lecture (zoom link on canvas), Please try to attend, although I won't be taking attendance
- I will record the lecture and make it available online if you would prefer to attend asynchronously


## CSE211: Compiler Design

 Oct. 18, 2021- Topic: global optimizations
- Questions:
- How can we deal with arbitrary control flow graphs?



## Review

- Local optimizations:
- Examples?


## Local optimizations: local value numbering

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{a} 2 & =\mathrm{b} 0+\mathrm{c} 1 ; \\
\mathrm{b} 4 & =\mathrm{a} 2-\mathrm{d} 3 ; \\
\mathrm{c} 5 & =\mathrm{b} 4+\mathrm{c} 1 ; \\
\mathrm{d} 6 & =\mathrm{a} 2-\mathrm{d} 3 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

## Review

- Regional optimizations:
- Examples?


## Regional optimization: Loop unrolling:

 <assignment>Assume we
know that the loop will iterate an even number of times:


## Regional optimization: Loop unrolling:

Assume we
know that the loop will iterate an even number of times:


## Review

- Global optimizations:
- Examples?


## Global optimizations

- Difference between regional:
- handle arbitrary CFGs, cannot rely on structure!
- Algorithms become more general
- Potential for more optimizations!
- Highly suggest reading for this part of the class
- Chapter 9 of EAC


## First concept:

- Dominance in a CFG
- Builds up a framework for reasoning
- Building block for many algorithms
- global local value numbering when unlimited registers
- Conversion to SSA


## Dominance

- a block $b_{x}$ dominates block $b_{y}$ iff every path from the start to block $b_{y}$ goes through $b_{x}$
- definition:
- domination (includes itself)
- strict domination (does not include itself)

```
start:
r0 = ...;
r1 = ...;
br r0, if, else;
```



## Dominance

- a block $b_{x}$ dominates block $b_{y}$ iff every path from the start to block $b_{x}$ goes through $b_{y}$
- definition:
- domination (includes itself)
- strict domination (does not include itself)
start:
start:
r0 = ...;
r0 = ...;
r1 = ...;
r1 = ...;
br r0, if, else;
br r0, if, else;
- Can we apply this to local value numbering?


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Node | Dominators |
| BO | B0 |
| B1 | BO, B1 |
| B2 | $B 0, B 1, B 2$ |
| B3 | $B 0, B 1, B 3$ |
| B4 | $B 0, B 1, B 3, B 4$ |
| B5 | $B 0, B 1, B 5$ |
| B6 | $B 0, B 1, B 5, B 6$ |
| B7 | $B 0, B 1, B 5, B 7$ |
| B8 | $B 0, B 1, B 5, B 8$ |



## Computing dominance

- Iterative fixed point algorithm
- Initial state, all nodes start with all other nodes are dominators:
- $\operatorname{Dom}(n)=N$
- Dom(start) $=\{$ start $\}$
iteratively compute:

$$
\operatorname{Dom}(n)=\{n\} \cup\left(\cap_{m \text { in preds }(n)} \operatorname{Dom}(m)\right)
$$

## Building intuition behind the math

- This algorithm is vertex centric
- local computations consider only a target node and its immediate neighbors
- At least one node is instantiated with ground truth:
- starting node dominator is itself
- Information flows through the graph as nodes are updated


## For example: Bellman Ford Shortest path

- Root node is initialized to 0
- Every node determines new distances based on incoming distances.
- When distances stop updating, the algorithm is converged



## Now lets think about dominance

- Root node is initialized to itself
- Every node determines new dominators based on parent dominators



## Now lets think about dominance

- Root node is initialized to itself
- Every node determines new dominators based on parent dominators
$D=\{x, y\}$

$$
D=\{a, x, y\}
$$




## Now lets think about dominance

- Root node is initialized to itself
- Every node determines new dominators based on parent dominators
update:
intersection of parent values
$D=\{x, y\}$

$$
D=\{a, x, y\}
$$



$$
\operatorname{Dom}(n)=\{n\} \cup\left(\bigcap_{p \text { in } \operatorname{preds}(n)} \operatorname{Dom}(p)\right)
$$

## Now lets think about dominance

- Root node is initialized to itself
- Every node determines new dominators based on parent dominators
update:
intersection of parent values
$D=\{x, y\}$

$$
D=\{x, y, z\}
$$



$$
\operatorname{Dom}(n)=\{n\} \cup\left(\bigcap_{p \text { in } p r e d s}(n) \operatorname{Dom}(p)\right)
$$ parents to children.

Lets try it

| Node | Initial | Iteration 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B0 | B0 | $\ldots$ |
| B1 | $N$ | B0, B1 |
| B2 | $N$ | B0, B1, B2 |
| B3 | $N$ | B0, B1, B2, B3 |
| B4 | $N$ | B0, B1, B2, B3, B4 |
| B5 | $N$ | B0, B1, B5 |
| B6 | $N$ | B0, B1, B5, B6 |
| B7 | $N$ | B0, B1, B5, B6, B7 |
| B8 | $N$ | B0, B1, B5, B8 |




How can we optimize the algorithm?

| Node | Initial | Iteration 1 | Iteration 2 | Iteration 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B0 | B0 | B0 | ... | ... |
| B1 | $N$ | B0, B1 | ... | ... |
| B2 | $N$ | B0,B1,B2 | ... | ... |
| B3 | $N$ | B0,B1, B2,B3 | B0,B1, B3 | ... |
| B4 | $N$ | B0,B1,B2,B3, B4 | B0,B1,B3,B4 | ... |
| B5 | $N$ | B0,B1,B5 | ... | ... |
| B6 | $N$ | B0,B1, B5, B6 | ... | ... |
| B7 | $N$ | B0,B1,B5,B6,B7 | B0,B1,B5, B7 | ... |
| B8 | $N$ | B0,B1,B5,B8 | ... | $\cdots$ |



## How can we optimize the algorithm?

| Node | Initial | Iteration 1 | Iteration 2 | Iteration 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B0 | B0 | B0 | ... | ... |
| B1 | $N$ | B0, 1 1 | ... | ... |
| B2 | $N$ | B0,B1,B2 | ... | ... |
| B3 | $N$ | B0,B1, B2, B3 | B0, B1, B3 | ... |
| B4 | $N$ | B0,B1, B2, B3, B4 | B0,B1, B3, B4 | ... |
| B5 | $N$ | B0,B1,B5 | ... | ... |
| B6 | $N$ | B0,B1, B5, B6 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| B7 | $N$ | B0,B1,B5,B6,B7 | B0,B1,B5, B7 | ... |
| B8 | $N$ | B0,B1,B5, B8 | ... | ... |

This can be any order...
How can we optimize the order?


## Given this intuition, what ordering would be best?

- Root node is initialized to itself
- Every node determines new dominators based on parent dominators
update:
intersection of parent values
$D=\{x, y\}$

$$
D=\{x, y, z\}
$$



$$
D=\{a, x, y\}
$$



Forward flow, as updates flow from parents to children.

$$
\operatorname{Dom}(n)=\{n\} \cup\left(\bigcap_{p \text { in } \operatorname{preds}(n)} \operatorname{Dom}(p)\right)
$$

## How can we optimize the algorithm?

| Node | New Order |
| :--- | :--- |
| B0 | B0 |
| B1 | B1 |
| B2 | B2 |
| B3 | B5 |
| B4 | B6 |
| B5 | B8 |
| B6 | B7 |
| B7 | B3 |
| B8 | B4 |

Reverse
post-order (rpo),
where parents are visited first


## How can we optimize the algorithm?
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| :--- | :--- |
| B0 | B0 |
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| B2 | B2 |
| B3 | B5 |
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| B8 | B4 |

Reverse
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## How can we optimize the algorithm?

| Node | New Order |
| :--- | :--- |
| B0 | B0 |
| B1 | B1 |
| B2 | B2 |
| B3 | B5 |
| B4 | B6 |
| B5 | B8 |
| B6 | B7 |
| B7 | B3 |
| B8 | B4 |

Reverse
post-order (rpo),
where parents are visited first


How can we optimize the algorithm?

| Node | Initial | Iteration 1 | Iteration 2 | Iteration 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B0 | B0 | B0 |  |  |
| B1 | N | BO,B1 |  |  |
| B2 | N | B0,B1,B2 |  |  |
| B5 | N | B0,B1,B5 |  |  |
| B6 | N | B0,B1,B5,B6 |  |  |
| B8 | N | B0,B1,B5, B8 |  |  |
| B7 | N | B0,B1,B5,B7 |  |  |
| B3 | $N$ | $B 0, B 1, B 3$ |  |  |
| B4 | $N$ | $B 0, B 1, B 3$ |  |  |



How can we optimize the algorithm?

| Node | Initial | Iteration 1 | Iteration 2 | Iteration 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B0 | B0 | B0 |  |  |
| B1 | N | BO,B1 |  |  |
| B2 | N | B0,B1,B2 |  |  |
| B5 | N | B0,B1,B5 |  |  |
| B6 | N | B0,B1,B5,B6 |  |  |
| B8 | N | B0,B1,B5,B8 |  |  |
| B7 | N | B0,B1,B5,B7 |  |  |
| B3 | $N$ | $B 0, B 1, B 3$ |  |  |
| B4 | $N$ | $B 0, B 1, B 4$ |  |  |



How can we optimize the algorithm?

| Node | Initial | Iteration 1 | Iteration 2 | Iteration 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B0 | B0 | B0 |  |  |
| B1 | N | BO,B1 |  |  |
| B2 | N | B0,B1,B2 |  |  |
| B5 | N | B0,B1,B5 |  |  |
| B6 | N | B0,B1,B5,B6 |  |  |
| B8 | N | B0,B1,B5,B8 |  |  |
| B7 | N | B0,B1,B5,B7 |  |  |
| B3 | $N$ | $B 0, B 1, B 3$ |  |  |
| B4 | $N$ | $B 0, B 1, B 4$ |  |  |



How can we optimize the algorithm?

| Node | Initial | Iteration 1 | Iteration 2 | Iteration 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B0 | B0 | B0 | $\ldots$ |  |
| B1 | N | B0,B1 | $\ldots$ |  |
| B2 | $N$ | B0,B1,B2 | $\ldots$ |  |
| B5 | N | B0,B1,B5 | $\ldots$ |  |
| B6 | $N$ | B0,B1,B5,B6 | $\ldots$ |  |
| B8 | $N$ | B0,B1,B5,B8 | $\ldots$ |  |
| B7 | $N$ | $B 0, B 1, B 5, B 7$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| B3 | $N$ | $B 0, B 1, B 3$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| B4 | $N$ | $B 0, B 1, B 4$ | $\ldots$ |  |



## A quick aside about graph algorithms:

- Does node ordering matter in SSSP?
- Yes! Dijkstra's algorithm uses a priority queue
- Prioritize nodes with the lowest value



## Another analysis: Live Variable Analysis

- A variable $v$ is live at some point $p$ in the program if there exists a path from $p$ to some use of $v$ where $v$ has not been redefined
- examples:


## Another analysis: Live Variable Analysis

- A variable $v$ is live at some point $p$ in the program if there exists a path from $p$ to some use of $v$ where $v$ has not been redefined
- examples:

```
x = 5
if (z):
    y = 6
else:
    y = x
print(y)
print(w)
```


## Another analysis: Live Variable Analysis

- A variable $v$ is live at some point $p$ in the program if there exists a path from $p$ to some use of $v$ where $v$ has not been redefined
- examples:

```
x=5 & p
if (z):
    y = 6
else:
    y = x
print(y)
print(w)
```


## Another analysis: Live Variable Analysis

- A variable $v$ is live at some point $p$ in the program if there exists a path from $p$ to some use of $v$ where $v$ has not been redefined
- examples:

```
x = 5
if (z): p Live variables: x,z,w
    y = 6
else:
    y = x
print(y)
print(w)
```


## Another analysis: Live Variable Analysis

- A variable $v$ is live at some point $p$ in the program if there exists a path from $p$ to some use of $v$ where $v$ has not been redefined
- examples:


```
x = 5
if (z):
    y = 6
else:
    y = x
print(y)
print(w)
```


## Another analysis: Live Variable Analysis

- A variable $v$ is live at some point $p$ in the program if there exists a path from $p$ to some use of $v$ where $v$ has not been redefined
- examples:


```
x = 5
if (z):
    y = 6 p Live variables: ?
else:
    y = x
print(y)
print(w)
```


## Another analysis: Live Variable Analysis

- A variable $v$ is live at some point $p$ in the program if there exists a path from $p$ to some use of $v$ where $v$ has not been redefined
- examples:


```
x = 5
if (z):
    y = 6 p Live variables: y
    y = x
print(y)
print(w)
```


## Another analysis: Live Variable Analysis

- A variable $v$ is live at some point $p$ in the program if there exists a path from $p$ to some use of $v$ where $v$ has not been redefined
- examples:


```
//start & p}\mathrm{ Live variables: ?
x = 5
if (z):
    y = 6
else:
    y = x
print(y)
print(w)
```


## Another analysis: Live Variable Analysis

- A variable $v$ is live at some point $p$ in the program if there exists a path from $p$ to some use of $v$ where $v$ has not been redefined
- examples:


```
//start & P Live variables: w
x = 5
if (z):
    y = 6
else:
    y = x
print(y)
print(w)
```


## Another analysis: Live Variable Analysis

- A variable $v$ is live at some point $p$ in the program if there exists a path from $p$ to some use of $v$ where $v$ has not been redefined
- examples:


Accessing an uninitialized variable!

```
//start & P Live variables: w
x = 5
if (z):
    y = 6
else:
    y = x
print(y)
print(w)
```


## Live variable analysis in the CFG:



For each block $B_{x}$ : we want to compute LiveOut: The set of variables that are live at the end of $B_{x}$

## Live variable analysis in the CFG:



## Live variable analysis in the CFG:



## Live variable analysis in the CFG:



## Live variable analysis in the CFG:



## Live variable analysis in the CFG:



To compute the LiveOut sets, we need two initial sets:

VarKill for block $b$ is any variable in block $b$ that gets overwritten

UEVar (upward exposed variable) for block b is any variable in $b$ that is read before being overwritten

| Block | Varkill | UEVar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B0 |  |  |
| B1 |  |  |
| B2 |  |  |
| B3 |  |  |
| B4 |  |  |

## Live variable analysis in the CFG:



To compute the LiveOut sets, we need two initial sets:

Varkill for block $b$ is any variable in block $b$ that gets overwritten

UEVar (upward exposed variable) for block b is any variable in $b$ that is read before being overwritten

| Block | VarKill | UEVar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B0 | i |  |
| B1 | $\}$ |  |
| B2 | s |  |
| B3 | s,i |  |
| B4 | $\}$ |  |

## Live variable analysis in the CFG:



To compute the LiveOut sets, we need two initial sets:

Varkill for block $b$ is any variable in block $b$ that gets overwritten

UEVar (upward exposed variable) for block b is any variable in $b$ that is read before being overwritten

| Block | Varkill | UEVar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B0 | i | $\}$ |
| B1 | $\}$ | i |
| B2 | s | $\}$ |
| B3 | $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}$ | $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{i}$ |
| B4 | $\}$ | s |

## Live variable analysis in the CFG:

- Initial condition: LiveOut(n) = \{\} for all nodes
- Ground truth, no variables are live at the exit of the program, i.e. end node $\mathrm{n}_{\text {end }}$ has LiveOut $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\text {end }}\right)=\{ \}$


## Live variable analysis in the CFG:

- Initial condition: LiveOut(n) = $\}$ for all nodes
- Ground truth, no variables are live at the exit of the program, i.e. end node $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{end}}$ has LiveOut $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{end}}\right)=\{ \}$

Now we can perform the iterative fixed point computation:

$$
\operatorname{LiveOut}(n)=U_{s \text { in succ(n) }}(\operatorname{UEVar}(s) \cup(\operatorname{LiveOut}(s) \cap \overline{\operatorname{VarKill}(s)}))
$$

## Live variable analysis in the CFG:

$$
\operatorname{LiveOut}(n)=U_{s \text { in succ(n) }}(\text { UEVar(s) } \cup(\operatorname{LiveOut(s)} \cap \overline{\operatorname{VarKill(s)})})
$$



Backwards flow analysis because values flow from successors

## Live variable analysis in the CFG:

$$
\operatorname{LiveOut}(n)=U_{s \text { in } \operatorname{succ}(n)}(\operatorname{UEVar(s)} \cup(\operatorname{LiveOut}(s) \cap \overline{\operatorname{VarKill}(s)}))
$$


any variable in UEVar(s) is live at $n$

## Live variable analysis in the CFG:

$$
\operatorname{LiveOut}(n)=U_{s \text { in succ(n) }}(\operatorname{UEVar}(s) \cup(\operatorname{LiveOut}(s) \cap \overline{\operatorname{VarKill}(s)}))
$$


variables that are not overwritten in s

## Live variable analysis in the CFG:

$$
\operatorname{LiveOut}(n)=U_{s \text { in succ(n) }}(\operatorname{UEVar}(s) \cup(\operatorname{LiveOut}(s) \cap \overline{\operatorname{VarKill}(s)}))
$$


variables that are live at the end of $s$

## Live variable analysis in the CFG:

$$
\operatorname{LiveOut}(n)=U_{s \text { in succ(n) }}(\text { UEVar(s) } \cup(\operatorname{LiveOut(s)} \cap \overline{\operatorname{VarKill}(s)}))
$$


variables that are live at the end of $s$, and not overwritten by s

## Live variable analysis in the CFG:

$$
\operatorname{LiveOut}(n)=U_{s \text { in succ(n) }}(\text { UEVar(s) } \cup(\operatorname{LiveOut(s)} \cap \overline{\operatorname{VarKill(s)})})
$$



LiveOut is a union
rather than an intersection

$$
\operatorname{Dom}(n)=\{n\} \cup\left(\bigcap_{p \text { in } \operatorname{preds}(n)} \operatorname{Dom}(p)\right)
$$

## Consider the language we use for each:

- Dominance of node $b_{x}$ contains $b_{y}$ if:
- every path from the start to $b_{x}$ goes through $b_{y}$
- LiveOut of node $b_{x}$ contains variable $y$ if:
- some path from $b_{x}$ contains a usage of $y$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{LiveOut}(n)=U_{\text {sinsucc( } n)}( & \operatorname{UEVar}(s) \cup(\operatorname{LiveOut}(s) \cap \overline{\operatorname{VarKill}(s)})) \\
\operatorname{Dom}(n) & =\{n\} \cup\left(\bigcap_{\text {pin preds }(n)} \operatorname{Dom}(p)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Consider the language we use for each:

- Dominance of node $b_{x}$ contains $b_{y}$ if:
- every path from the start to $b_{x}$ goes through $b_{y}$
- LiveOut of node $b_{x}$ contains variable $y$ if:
- some path from $b_{x}$ contains a usage of $y$
- Some vs. Every

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{LiveOut}(n)=U_{\text {sin succ(n) }}( & \operatorname{UEVar}(s) \cup(\operatorname{LiveOut}(s) \cap \overline{\operatorname{VarKill}(s)})) \\
\operatorname{Dom}(n) & =\{n\} \cup\left(\bigcap_{\text {pin preds(n) }} \operatorname{Dom}(p)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## See you virtually on Friday

- We will discuss other flow algorithms
- Start talking about SSA construction
- Remember: no class on Wednesday! Get started on HW2!

